

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/910,054	Applicant(s) TOMIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner Marjorie A. Moran	Art Unit 1631	

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Darleen Stockley.

Date of Interview: 19 December 2005

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd para. , enablement

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Stockley was informed that the after-final amendment of 11/28/05 would not be entered as it introduces new issues, specifically under 35 USC 112, 2nd para. The examiner stated that the proposed amendment would overcome the new matter rejection. There was a brief discussion about the limitation with regard to "satisfying a condition", but no agreement was reached. .